

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,816	09/14/2000	John Robert Patterson	99-054	1262
75	90 06/27/2002			
Jeffrey H Rosedale Rohm and Haas Company Patent Department			EXAMINER	
			HARLAN, ROBERT D	
100 Independence Mall West Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 ,			1713	8
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002	D

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MES		
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/661,816	PATTERSON ET	AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert D. Harlan	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence ac	idr ss		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, by within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (to, cause the application to become a state of this communication, or state of the state of th	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel by MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		n.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requiremen	nt.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.0.5.440/m/ (d/ mm/f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		1			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document			l Ctago		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	i Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).		
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 					
Attachm nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (Pager)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a blend composition, classified in class 525, subclass 240.
 - II. Claims 10-20, drawn to process preparing a blend composition, classified in class 525, subclass 240.
 - III. Claims 21-23, drawn to a composite, classified in
 class 428, subclass 411.1+

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and (I, III) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as radical polymerization.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Inventions I and III are related as mutually exclusive 3. species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a binder or adhesive and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious Should applicant traverse on the ground that the variants. species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,816

Art Unit: 1713

5. A telephone call was made to attorney Jeffery Rosedale on 06/17/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (703) 306-5926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,816

for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1713

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9559 for regular communications and (703) 872-9559

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Robert D. Harlan

Examiner

Art Unit 1713

rdh

June 21, 2002

Page 5